CITY OF STOUGHTON COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE 2023 BUDGET WORKSHOPS

Key factors and information

- Wage increase for employees, both union and non-union) is currently at 4.0%.
- Health insurance premiums increased by 9.9% with no change to the cost to employees
- CPI-U as determined by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue for one-year collective bargaining agreements is 7.17%
- Net new construction for budget year 2023 is 2.43%, which was a record year under the current levy limit statutes. This allowed for an increase of \$186,589 in operational tax levy.
- Given that the City's equalized value increased by 16.57% for 2023, the mill rate property taxes will decrease.
- Consistent with the 2022 adopted budget, there is nothing budgeted in the contingency account.
- The amount of General Obligation debt as a percentage of equalized value is 2.34% for budget year 2023 and is projected to increase to 2.45% for the following year.

Personnel changes by the Mayor – Budget year 2023

- Increased hours that affect multiple departments
 - Human Resources Admin Assistant increased from 20 to 30 hours per week
 - Recreation Programs Administrative position increased from 15.03 hours to 25 hours per week
- Retention incentive Increase in wage rates in addition to the 4.0% wage increase to bring positions closer to market. This affected 14 13 positions for an increase in the tax levy of \$45,296 38,797 for wages and benefits.

Human Resources (1) Public Library (1)

Recreation Programs (2) Planning (1)

Public Works (2) (4) Senior Center (6) (5)

- Plan for budget year 2024
 - Increased staffing in Public Works
 - Continue retention incentive to get certain positions closer to market

Material reductions to requested budgets by the Mayor

- Information Technology / Media Services
 - Reduction of expenditures by \$30,000. John Montgomery provided the various accounts and amounts totaling \$30,000.

Opera House

- Increased membership revenue from requested \$100,000 to \$130,000. Year to date revenue through September 2022 is \$133,438.
- Decreased Advertising (50343) from \$17,000 to \$15,000.
- No change was made to Ads & Publications (50315) at the requested \$85,000.
- Redevelopment Authority
 - Removed \$7,500 in Professional Services related to River Bluff funding
- Economic Development (General Fund)
 - Removed \$43,200 in Professional Services related to grant funding strategies

Net New Construction data

Report	Budget
Year	Year
2012	2013
2013	2014
2014	2015
2015	2016
2016	2017
2017	2018
2018	2019
2019	2020
2020	2021
2021	2022
2022	2023

City of Stoughton								
Allowed	Aver	Averages						
Increase	Three Yr Five Yr							
0.35%	n/a	n/a						
0.45%	n/a	n/a						
0.82%	0.54%	n/a						
1.11%	0.79%	n/a						
1.94%	1.29%	0.93%						
1.75%	1.60%	1.21%						
1.59%	1.76%	1.44%						
1.48%	1.61%	1.57%						
1.84%	1.64%	1.72%						
1.32%	1.55%	1.60%						
2.43%	1.86%	1.73%						

County of Dane						
Allowed	Aver	ages				
Increase	Three Yr Five Yı					
0.95%	n/a	n/a				
1.50%	n/a	n/a				
2.19%	1.55%	n/a				
2.21%	1.97%	n/a				
2.48%	2.29%	1.87%				
2.86%	2.52%	2.25%				
2.60%	2.65%	2.47%				
2.44%	2.63%	2.52%				
2.12%	2.39%	2.50%				
2.08%	2.21%	2.42%				
2.52%	2.24%	2.35%				

State of Wisconsin						
Allowed	Aver	ages				
Increase	Three Yr	Five Yr				
0.74%	n/a	n/a				
0.90%	n/a	n/a				
1.12%	0.92%	n/a				
1.21%	1.08%	n/a				
1.43%	1.25%	1.08%				
1.60%	1.41%	1.25%				
1.62%	1.55%	1.40%				
1.60%	1.61%	1.49%				
1.60%	1.61%	1.57%				
1.60%	1.60%	1.60%				
1.71%	1.64%	1.63%				

CODE	MUNIC	[PA	LITY	EQUALIZED VALUE	CONSTRUCTION	PERCENT
13221	CITY	0	EDGERTON *	27,869,900	2,800	0.01%
13225	CITY	0	FITCHBURG	4,100,343,800	170,592,400	4.16%
13251	CITY	0	MADISON	32,940,368,400	780,438,600	2.37%
13255	CITY	0	MIDDLETON	4,263,315,100	98,155,800	2.30%
13258	CITY	0	MONONA	1,538,704,800	29,785,400	1.94%
13281	CITY	0	STOUGHTON	1,341,278,100	32,581,600	2.43%
13282	CITY	0	SUN PRAIRIE	4,176,130,900	138,582,500	3.32%
13286	CITY	0	VERONA	3,199,968,100	80,358,500	2.51%
13999	COUNTY	0	F DANE	76,889,801,300	1,941,294,600	2.52%

Equalized value data

Report	Budget
Year	Year
2014	2015
2015	2016
2016	2017
2017	2018
2018	2019
2019	2020
2020	2021
2021	2022
2022	2023

City of Stoughton									
EQ Value	EQ Value Annual								
(Exclude TIDs)	Increase	Three Yr	Five Yr						
\$ 886,512,800	n/a	n/a	n/a						
\$ 923,322,500	4.15%	n/a	n/a						
\$ 962,317,400	4.22%	n/a	n/a						
\$1,019,871,200	5.98%	4.79%	n/a						
\$1,110,930,600	8.93%	6.38%	n/a						
\$1,176,283,200	5.88%	6.93%	5.83%						
\$1,199,002,400	1.93%	5.58%	5.39%						
\$1,286,313,900	7.28%	5.03%	6.00%						
\$1,499,453,200	16.57%	8.59%	8.12%						

County of Dane						
EQ Value	Annual	Averages				
(Exclude TIDs)	Increase	Three Yr	Five Yr			
\$49,509,314,700	n/a	n/a	n/a			
\$51,272,739,050	3.56%	n/a	n/a			
\$54,247,628,050	5.80%	n/a	n/a			
\$57,726,523,450	6.41%	5.26%	n/a			
\$62,121,666,600	7.61%	6.61%	n/a			
\$ 66,499,944,400	7.05%	7.02%	6.09%			
\$70,070,629,900	5.37%	6.68%	6.45%			
\$72,334,792,600	3.23%	5.22%	5.94%			
\$83,847,065,700	15.92%	8.17%	7.84%			

Mill Rate Comparison

The mill rate is decreasing by 9.02%, which equates to a decrease of \$69.53 annually for each \$100,000 of assessed value. The City of Stoughton has historically had assessed values approximately the same as equalized value.

	Proposed 2023			Adopted 2022		Increase Decrease)	Increase (Decrease)
Operating levy							
Equalized value - TID out	\$1	,499,453,200	\$:	1,286,313,900	\$2	13,139,300	16.57%
Operational levy	\$	6,532,620	\$	6,346,031	\$	186,589	2.94%
Mill rate	\$	4.3567	\$	4.9335	\$	(0.5768)	-11.69%
Effect on \$100,000 property	\$	435.67	\$	493.35	\$	(57.68)	-11.69%
Debt levy							
Equalized value - TID out	\$1	,499,453,200	\$:	1,286,313,900	\$2	13,139,300	16.57%
Debt levy	\$	3,978,674	\$	3,565,553	\$	413,121	11.59%
Mill rate	\$	2.6534	\$	2.7719	\$	(0.1185)	-4.27%
Effect on \$100,000 property	\$	265.34	\$	277.19	\$	(11.85)	-4.27%
Total levy							
Equalized value - TID out	\$1	,499,453,200	\$:	1,286,313,900	\$2	13,139,300	16.57%
Total levy	\$	10,511,294	\$	9,911,584	\$	599,710	6.05%
Mill rate	\$	7.0101	\$	7.7054	\$	(0.6953)	-9.02%
Effect on \$100,000 property	\$	701.01	\$	770.54	\$	(69.53)	-9.02%

Mill Rate Comparison – TIF Districts

The mill rate is decreasing by 12.12, which equates to a decrease of \$250.10 annually for each \$100,000 of assessed value. The City of Stoughton has historically had assessed values approximately the same as equalized value.

	Proposed 2023		Adopted 2022		Increase (Decrease)		Increase (Decrease)
TID # 4 - Downtown							
TID incremental value	\$	9,738,700	\$	7,905,100	\$	1,833,600	23.20%
TID tax levy	\$	176,671	\$	163,178	\$	13,493	8.27%
TID #5 - Development							
TID incremental value	\$	3,309,100	\$	3,214,400	\$	94,700	2.95%
TID tax levy	\$	60,031	\$	66,352	\$	(6,321)	-9.53%
TID #6 - Business Park Expa	nsi	ion					
TID incremental value	\$	4,186,300	\$	3,191,400	\$	994,900	31.17%
TID tax levy	\$	75,944	\$	65,877	\$	10,067	15.28%
TID #7 - Kettle Park West							
TID incremental value	\$	49,886,300	\$	40,319,500	\$	9,566,800	23.73%
TID tax levy	\$	904,995	\$	832,281	\$	72,714	8.74%
TID #8 - Riverfront Develop	m	ent					
TID incremental value	\$	1,220,100	\$	333,800	\$	886,300	265.52%
TID tax levy	\$	22,135	\$	6,890	\$	15,245	221.26%
Tax Incremental Financing	Dis	tricts					
TID incremental value	\$	68,340,500	\$.	54,964,200	\$	13,376,300	24.34%
TID tax levy	\$	1,239,776	\$	1,134,578	\$	105,198	9.27%
Mill rate	\$	18.1412	\$	20.6421	\$	(2.5010)	-12.12%
Effect on \$100,000 property	\$	1,814.12	\$	2,064.21	\$	(250.10)	-12.12%

Property tax comparison – by department

Department	Mayor Proposed 2023	Mayor Proposed 2023	Amended Budget 2022	Increase (Decrease)	Increase (Decrease)
Police Department	41.7%	\$ 3,559,753	\$3,274,454	\$285,299	8.7%
Public Library	8.5%	727,938	646,350	81,588	12.6%
Human Resources/ Risk Mgm	6.2%	529,238	455,219	74,019	16.3%
Finance	6.1%	517,373	487,516	29,857	6.1%
Planning and Development	5.9%	502,389	510,860	(8,471)	-1.7%
Public Works	5.8%	493,740	521,772	(28,032)	-5.4%
Info Tech / Media Services	5.2%	447,567	332,300	115,267	34.7%
Senior Center	4.8%	412,207	404,460	7,747	1.9%
Fire Department	4.3%	365,469	341,561	23,908	7.0%
Opera House	2.8%	235,245	195,000	40,245	20.6%
City Attorney	2.2%	185,000	157,000	28,000	17.8%
City Clerk	2.1%	180,834	211,881	(31,047)	-14.7%
Recreation Programs	2.1%	179,597	162,975	16,622	10.2%
Mayor	1.3%	114,503	105,901	8,602	8.1%
City Council	0.6%	51,873	46,483	5,390	11.6%
Redevelopment Authority	0.2%	20,500	11,000	9,500	86.4%
Shared Ride Services	0.1%	7,886	16,951	(9,065)	-53.5%
Economic Development	0.1%	7,500	0	7,500	100.0%
Subtotal	100.0%	8,538,612	7,881,683	656,929	8.3%
Special Assessments		(19,000)	0	(19,000)	
Municipal Court		(32,112)	(17,538)	(14,574)	83.1%
General Revenues		(1,954,880)	(1,518,114)	(436,766)	28.8%
Operational Tax Levy	62.1%	6,532,620	6,346,031	186,589	2.9%
Debt Levy	37.9%	3,978,674	3,565,553	413,121	11.6%
Total Property Tax Levy	100.0%	\$ 10,511,294	\$9,911,584	\$599,710	6.1%

Property tax comparison – by category (slide 1 of 2)

	Mayor Proposed 2023	Mayor Proposed 2023	Amended Budget 2022	Increase (Decrease)	Increase Decrease)
Public Safety					
Fire Department		365,469	341,561	23,908	7.0%
Planning (Inspection)		117,946	98,496	19,450	19.7%
Police Department		3,559,753	3,274,454	285,299	8.7%
Subtotal	47.6%	4,043,168	3,714,511	328,657	8.8%
Culture, Recreation, Education					
Info Tech / Media Services		447,567	332,300	115,267	34.7%
Public Library		727,938	646,350	81,588	12.6%
Opera House		235,245	195,000	40,245	20.6%
Public Works (Parks)		377,784	335,536	42,248	12.6%
Recreation Programs		179,597	162,975	16,622	10.2%
Subtotal	23.2%	1,968,131	1,672,161	295,970	17.7%
General Government					
City Attorney		185,000	157,000	28,000	17.8%
City Clerk		180,834	211,881	(31,047)	-14.7%
City Council		51,873	46,483	5,390	11.6%
Finance		517,373	487,516	29,857	6.1%
Human Resources/ Risk Mgn	nt	529,238	455,219	74,019	16.3%
Mayor		114,503	105,901	8,602	8.1%
Municipal Court		(32,112)	(17,538)	(14,574)	83.1%
Planning (Buildings)		268,765	262,469	6,296	2.4%
Subtotal	21.4%	1,815,474	1,708,931	106,543	6.2%
Health and Human Services					-
Public Works (Cemetery)		26,000	22,500	3,500	15.6%
Senior Center		412,207	404,460	7,747	1.9%
Subtotal	5.2%	438,207	426,960	11,247	2.6%

Property tax comparison – by category (slide 2 of 2)

Department	Mayor Proposed 2023	Mayor Proposed 2023	Amended Budget 2022	Increase (Decrease)	Increase (Decrease)
Conservation and Development					
Economic Development		7,500	0	7,500	100.0%
Planning and Development		115,678	149,895	(34,217)	-22.8%
Redevelopment Authority		20,500	11,000	9,500	86.4%
Subtotal	1.7%	143,678	160,895	(17,217)	-10.7%
Public Works					
Public Works (Streets)		89,956	163,736	(73,780)	-45.1%
Shared Ride Services		7,886	16,951	(9,065)	-53.5%
Special Assessments		(19,000)	0	(19,000)	
Subtotal	0.9%	78,842	180,687	(101,845)	-56.4%
Program Activities	100.0%	8,487,500	7,864,145	623,355	7.9%
Less General Revenues		(1,954,880)	(1,518,114)	(436,766)	28.8%
Operational Tax Levy	62.1%	6,532,620	6,346,031	186,589	2.9%
Debt Levy	37.9%	3,978,674	3,565,553	413,121	11.6%
Total Property Tax Levy	100.0%	10,511,294	9,911,584	599,710	6.1%

Total expenditures by category (excluding Stoughton Utilities)

Stay tuned.....

Fund balance / net position applied to budget

<u>Fund</u>	<u>Fund</u>	Department	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Totals</u>	Comment
100	General Fund	City Council	5,600		Sustainability Committee - proposed carryforward
100	General Fund	City Council	2,000		Arts Council - proposed carryforward
100	General Fund	General Revenues	85,000		Retirement payouts as per R-175-2022
100	General Fund	General Revenues	5,429		Adere to levy limits
100	General Fund	Subtotal		98,029	
204	TID #4	Downtown		(178,753)	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
205	TID #5	Redevelopment		93,021	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
206	TID #6	Bus Park Expansion		356,210	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
207	TID #7	Kettle Park West		(797,961)	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
217	Library Special Gift Fund			(11,000)	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
225	Cemetery Endowment			2,505	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
229	Senior Center Wood Shop			(2,950)	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
230	Town Senior Programming			396	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
231	Seniors in Need			(5,400)	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
232	Senior Center Special Fund	Primarily Annex remodeling		193,900	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
234	Food Pantry			(21,350)	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
236	Tree Commission			(1,020)	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
253	Affordable Housing Fund			(400)	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
261	Redevelopment Authority	Operations		12,000	Carryforward request for professional services
261	Redevelopment Authority	Revolving Loan Fund		(34,250)	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
270	Special Assessments			10,000	General Transportation Aids related
411	Building Maintenance Fund			53,987	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
412	Equipment Replacement Fund			(251,742)	Potential reduction in bonds issued in 2023
603	Emergency Medical Services	Operations		(36,565)	Projected annual (surplus) deficit
603	Emergency Medical Services	Depreciation		84,000	Estimated annual depreciation
	Total			(437,343)	

Fund balance policy application (General Fund only)

The City's fund balance policy states the General Fund unassigned fund balance should be between 20% and 25% of the subsequent year's operating expenditure budget.

As a point of information, the 2022 projection for the General Fund is a surplus of \$374,310.

General Fund - budgeted expenditures - adopted 2022 Minimum balance required Minimum balance required	\$ 14,814,029 25% \$ 3,703,507
Unassigned fund balance 12/31/2021	\$ 4,887,570 32.0%
Amount over (under) goal	\$ 1,184,063

Expenditure Restraint Program

Due to changes implemented in accounting during calendar year 2022 by the Finance Department, quite a few transfers to other funds were eliminated. In addition, over \$200,000 for the Shared Ride Services was moved to a special revenue fund.

Description	Mayor Proposed 2023	Council Adopted 2022	Increase (Decrease)	Increase (Decrease)
General Fund budgeted expenditures	\$15,520,773	\$ 14,814,029	\$ 706,744	4.6%
Less debt service transfers	\$ (4,059,830)	\$ (3,565,553)	\$ (494,277)	12.2%
Contracted services provided to another local government	\$ (188,448)	\$ (184,000)	\$ (4,448)	2.4%
Contracted services provided to another local government	\$ (88,719)	\$ -	\$ (88,719)	100.0%
Total	\$11,183,776	\$ 11,064,476	\$ 119,300	1.1%
Allowed increase		\$ 1,017,932		9.2%
Maximum allowed		\$ 12,082,408		
Less Mayor proposed budget		\$ (11,183,776)		
Amount available		\$ 898,632		

We could potentially add another \$0.9 million in General Fund expenditures and still remain under the limit.

The question is, though, how do we pay for those additional expenditures?

Accounting changes

The Director of Finance/Comptroller implemented a number of accounting changes during 2022 in order to accurately report information on the city's 2022 audited financial statements.

In addition, it becomes even more important for these changes with the pending Single Audits related to federal grants because of the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds.

While this has been time consuming, it is necessary as a number of issues were discovered and corrected. A brief summary of the changes made is below:

Fund eliminations

- Funds were eliminated to mirror how the funds were presented in the audited financial statements.
- In addition, funds with no activity in several years were eliminated. <u>These</u> <u>eliminated multiple transfers between funds which had a positive effect on the Expenditure Restraint Program calculations.</u>

Balanced budgets – Each department's budget has equal revenues and expenditures.

Accounting changes (continued)

Revenues

- Revenues specific to a department were moved to that department, which allows for a better understanding of the true cost for providing services of that department.
- Non-specific program revenues in the General Fund were moved to Department 88888 General Revenues.
- Steps were taken so that revenue for the same purpose in different funds used the same revenue account.
- Steps were taken so that revenues were correctly classified into the appropriate category. This directly affects the city's General Transportation Aids calculations.
- Property tax revenue is included with each department.

Accounting changes (continued)

Expenditures

- Departments in BS&A were created/modified/deleted to correctly report.
- The following benefit accounts were split into separate accounts in the budget. (The payroll system still needs to be updated to reflect this.)
 - Employee benefits (former account)
 - Social Security taxes
 - WRS pension (needs to be separate for GASB 68 calculations)
 - Life insurance (needs to be separate for GASB 75 calculations)
 - Health insurance/dental insurance
 - Health insurance (needs to be separate for GASB 75 calculations)
 - Dental insurance
- When applicable, the use of "catch-all" all types of accounts for Operating Expenses were eliminated so that expenditures across the city for items like utilities, postage, etc. were recorded in the same place no matter the department.

Future budget year plans

Currently, many departments create their budget in Excel and then manually enter that same data into BS&A.

The BS&A system is capable of entering footnotes and line item detail that can be displayed on the reports and can be used to review departmental requests. This should allow for time savings for departments along with having more robust calculations available by the system.

Levy departmental highlights

General Fund

■ City Council Increase of \$5,390

11.6%

- Wages and benefits increased by \$2,890 due to scheduled salary increases.
- Computer equipment related to committee meeting rooms was allocated here.
- Municipal Court Surplus increase of \$14,574 83.1%
 - Revenues were increased based upon 2022 year-to-date activity
- City Attorney Increase of \$28,000 17.8%
 - Budget was increased based upon activity in 2021 and 2022.
 - Accounting was changed in TIF Districts to reflect Outside Legal Services rather than being lumped together in Admin Costs.
- Mayor Increase of \$8,602 8.1%
 - Majority of the increase is for the scheduled salary increase due to CPI-U increase of 8.8%. This increase is based upon prior year Council action regarding automatic pay increases for the Mayor.

General Fund (continued)

- City Clerk Decrease of (\$31,047) (14.7%)
 - Wages and benefits increased by \$5,403
 - Increase in license revenues based upon 2020 and 2021 activity
 - Decrease in election costs as there will only be two (2) elections in 2023.
 - Note: The department levy will increase in 2024 due to four (4) elections.
- Finance Increase of \$29,857 6.1%
 - Wages and benefits increased by \$20,315
 - Actuarial fees of \$7,500 were not budgeted in prior years
 - Assumed slight increase in audit fees with GASB 87-Leases implementation.
- Human Resources / Risk Management Increase of \$74,019 16.3%
 - Wages and benefits increase \$55,078 which included increasing one staff member from 20 to 30 hours per week.
 - Insurance premiums increased by \$21,700.

General Fund (continued)

- Police Department Increase of \$285,299 8.7%
 - Wages and benefits increased by \$281,995
- Fire Department Increase of \$23,908 7.0%
 - Wages and benefits increased by \$12,351
 - Items transferred from the CIP to operations were \$6,500
 - Revenues, including charges to towns, increased by \$6,448
- Public Works Decrease of (\$34,105) (\$28,032) (6.5%) (5.4%)
 - The tax levy for Public Works appears small because General Transportation
 Aids and Connecting Highway Aids are credited directly to this department.
 - Wages and benefits increased by \$47,266-\$58,339
 - General Transportation Aids increased by \$150,307 but Connecting Highway
 Aids decreased by \$7,310

General Fund (continued)

- Planning and Development Decrease of (\$8,471) (1.7%)
 - Wages and benefits increased by \$38,709 including one employee adjustment as a partial step towards market rates.
 - Permit fees were increased by \$55,000 based upon 2021 and year-to-date
 2022 activity. The large increase was discussed with the department head.
- Senior Center Increase of \$8,391 \$7,747 2.1% 1.9%
 - Revenues were increased by \$25,739 based upon 2021 and year-to-date 2022 activity. The Dane County revenue assumed the same increase rate as occurred in the 2022 versus 2021 contract.
 - Wages and benefits increased by \$33,630
- Recreation Programs Increase of \$16,622 10.2%
 - Wages and benefits increased by \$29,268
 - Revenues increased by \$7,108

General Fund (continued)

■ IT/Media Services Increase of \$115,267

34.7%

- Wages and benefits increased by \$11,996
- Telephone increased by \$30,600
- Cable franchise fees revenue decreased by \$20,841
- Number of Microsoft user/service licenses were increased to be in compliance.
- Economic Development Increas

Increase of \$7,500

100%

- Nothing was budgeted in the prior year
- \$7,500 is included for professional services related to business retention.
- General Revenues Surplus increased by \$431,337 \$436,766 28.4% 28.8%
 - Payment in Lieu of Taxes from Stoughton Utilities decreased by \$34,389
 - \$50,000 from Stoughton Utilities rent was allocated to General Revenues as opposed to the Building Maintenance Fund.
 - Interest income increased by \$63,830 based upon current market trends
 - Transfers to other funds decreased by \$254,816 based upon accounting changes.
 - General Fund Unassigned Fund Balance applied to the tax levy = \$5,429

- Public Library Increase of \$81,588 12.6%
 - Wages and benefits increased by \$44,257
 - The 2021 adopted levy used \$26,739 in fund balance.
- Opera House Increase of \$40,245 20.6%
 - Wages and benefits increased by \$13,554
 - Operating expenditures decreased by (\$202,155)
 - Public Charges for Services decreased by (\$214,260)
- Redevelopment Authority Increase of \$9,500 86.4%
 - Stoughton Utility expenditures increased by \$5,000.
 - Additional \$4,500 for professional services
- Special Assessments Surplus increased by \$19,000
 - \$9,000 of interest income allocated to the tax levy
 - \$10,000 of fund balance allocated to the tax levy
- Shared Ride Services Decrease of (\$9,065) (53.5%)
 - Taxi grant revenue has been budgeted conservatively in the past.

Levy limit – Wis. Stat. 66.0602

Sec	tion A:					
	2021 payable 2022 actual levy		\$9	,911,584		
	2022 personal property aid		\$	36,760		
1	2021 payable 2022 actual levy plus 2021 personal property aid				\$	9,948,344
3	Exclude 2021 levy for new general obligation debt authorized after July 1, 2005				\$	2,266,612
4	2021 payable 2022 adjusted actual county levy				\$	7,681,732
5	Terminated TID applied to 2021 adjusted actual levy	0.000%	\$	-	\$	7,681,732
6	Net new construction applied to 2022 adjusted actual levy	2.429%	\$	186,589	\$	7,868,321
7	Greater of Line 5 or Line 6				\$	7,868,321
8	2022 levy limit before adjustments less 2023 personal property aid		\$	36,760	\$	7,831,561
9	Total adjustments (from Section D)				\$	-
10	2022 payable 2023 allowable levy				\$	7,831,561
Sec	tion B					
1	Previous year's allowable levy				\$	9,911,584
2	Previous year's actual levy				\$	9,911,584
3	Previous year's unused levy				\$	-
4	Previous year's actual levy	1.500%			\$	148,674
5	Allowable increase (lessor of lines 3 or 4)				\$	-
Sec	tion D: Adjustments to Allowable Levy Limit					
E	Debt service levy for general obligation debt authorized after July 1, 2005					
M	Adjustment to 2022 payable 2023 levy for annexation of land during 2022 from a town		Inv	vestigate		-
U	Total adjustments				\$	-
				_	_	
		1				(2,080,023)
		l	•			(2,266,612)
		Operating I	evy	increase	\$	186,589

Next Steps....

The Mayor's proposed tax for operations (i.e. not debt) is exactly the same at the levy limit under the Wisconsin statutes.

It is highly recommended to <u>NOT</u> lower the operational levy. That will lower the allowed limit for future year, unless various hoops are jumped through.

The debt levy could potentially be lowered with the use of Debt Service fund balance, but is not being recommended to do so at this time. That could potentially be done for the 2024 budget after the Director of Finance/Comptroller has analyzed the Debt Service fund balance.

If you wish to make changes to the 2023 budget, please keep in mind that it is a balanced budget. Any increase in expenditures needs to be offset by either:

- Reduction in expenditures elsewhere, or
- Increase in revenues, or
- Use of General Fund unassigned fund balance, or
- A combination of any of the above.

Let the fun begin....